

## CARLY P. EDMAN

ASSOCIATE



### AREAS OF PRACTICE

Miscellaneous Professional Liability  
Public Entity & Civil Rights Litigation  
Employment Law  
Health Care Liability  
Lawyers' Professional Liability

### CONTACT INFO

(412) 803-1155  
CPEdman@mdwcg.com

Union Trust Building, Suite 700  
501 Grant Street  
Pittsburgh, PA 15219

### ADMISSIONS

Pennsylvania  
2021

U.S. District Court Western District  
of Pennsylvania  
2021

### EDUCATION

Duquesne University School of  
Law (J.D., 2021)

Duquesne University (B.A., 2018)

### ASSOCIATIONS & MEMBERSHIPS

Allegheny County Bar Association

Pennsylvania Bar Association

### YEAR JOINED

2021

## OVERVIEW

Carly is a member of the Professional Liability Department where she focuses her practice on the defense of various professionals, public sector entities, and businesses, with respect to claims based on civil rights issues.

In 2021, Carly received her *juris doctor* from Duquesne University School of Law with a concentration in civil and criminal litigation. During law school, Carly served as a research and teaching assistant, conducting extensive research in the fields of both civil and criminal law. Carly was also an active participant in the Veterans Clinic where she defended veterans in criminal matters at the Allegheny County Veterans Court. She also worked with incarcerated individuals at the Allegheny County Jail as a tutor.

Carly was previously a summer associate at Marshall Dennehey in 2020. She obtained a Bachelor of Arts degree in Corporate Communications and Intercultural Engagement from Duquesne University in 2018.

Carly is currently admitted to practice in Pennsylvania and the U.S. District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania.

## THOUGHT LEADERSHIP

### Retooling the Client Engagement Letter to Minimize Liability Claim Exposure

Pittsburgh  
Lawyers' Professional Liability  
March 23, 2023

### Jane Doe: Navigating Gender Identity and Law

Pittsburgh  
Public Entity & Civil Rights Litigation  
December 1, 2022  
Defense Digest

### CLASSES/SEMINARS TAUGHT

*Hills and Ridges Doctrine Update*, Client Seminar, February 25, 2022

## **PUBLISHED WORKS**

"Retooling the Client Engagement Letter to Minimize Liability Claim Exposure," *PLUS Blog*, March 23, 2023

"Jane Doe: Navigating Gender Identity and Law," *Defense Digest*, Vol. 28, No. 12, December 2022

## **RESULTS**

### **Legal and Accounting Malpractice Claims Successfully Dismissed**

**Lawyers' Professional Liability**  
**Accountants' Professional Liability**  
**September 29, 2023**

We obtained a dismissal of plaintiff's legal and accounting malpractice claims, in which the plaintiff claimed a prior unrelated legal settlement led to an avoidable tax liability. The plaintiff alleged that our attorney client was negligent in providing legal and accounting advice in regard to corrective tax filings following a legal settlement with a state entity. After multiple rounds of amended pleadings and briefing, the court entered an order adopting our lack of subject matter jurisdiction argument and dismissed the plaintiff's complaints based upon a Rule 8 violation.

### **SIGNIFICANT REPRESENTATIVE MATTERS**

Obtained a dismissal of plaintiff's legal and accounting malpractice claims in the U.S. District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania. The plaintiff filed suit against a number of defendants as a result of tax liability stemming from a prior unrelated legal settlement. The plaintiff claimed that our attorney client was negligent in providing legal and accounting advice in regard to corrective tax filings following a legal settlement with a state entity. The plaintiff asserted claims of legal malpractice, accounting malpractice, fraud, and a host of constitutional violations. Carly sought dismissal via a number of arguments, including a lack of subject matter jurisdiction. After multiple rounds of amended pleadings and briefing, the court entered an order adopting our jurisdictional argument and dismissed the plaintiff's latest complaint without prejudice based upon a Rule 8 violation for his failure to plead a proper jurisdictional basis. While the dismissal order was without prejudice, the running of the statute of limitations results in our client obtaining the win.