

JACK YAU

ASSOCIATE



AREAS OF PRACTICE

Appellate Advocacy & Post-Trial Practice
General Liability
New York Construction & Labor Law
Miscellaneous Professional Liability

CONTACT INFO

(212) 376-6449
JJYau@mdwgcg.com

Wall Street Plaza
88 Pine Street, 29th Floor
New York, NY 10005

ADMISSIONS

New York
2024

EDUCATION

Benjamin N. Cardozo School of
Law (J.D., 2023)

State University of New York at
Albany (B.A., 2019)

YEAR JOINED

2024

OVERVIEW

Jack is a member of the firm's Appellate Advocacy and Post-Trial Practice Group. Jack's practice focuses on appellate advocacy, post-trial motions and appeals, general liability, New York Construction & Labor Law, and lawyers' professional liability.

Prior to joining Marshall Dennehey, Jack served as a Judicial Extern at the New York State Supreme Court, Bronx County, as well as at the First Judicial Department of the New York State Appellate Division, where he gained valuable insight into how courts analyze motion papers and issues on appeal. He also worked as a Law Clerk at a New York City plaintiff-side personal injury law firm and as an attorney at a New York City law firm which defended municipal entities and construction companies against Labor Law §§ 200, 240(1), and 241(6) claims. Jack is admitted to practice in New York and utilizes his well-rounded experience to achieve successful results at both the trial and appellate level.

Jack has appeared for oral argument in the First and Second Departments of the Appellate Division.

RESULTS

Successfully Defended an Appeal of Summary Judgment in a Motor Vehicle Accident Case

Automobile Liability February 12, 2025

We successfully defended an appeal of the trial court's grant of summary judgment to the defendants in a motor vehicle accident case. The evidence indicated that, at the time of the accident, the plaintiff's vehicle had stopped while partially merged from a service road onto the main traffic lane when it was struck by our clients' truck, which was driving on the main lane, on the driver's side of the plaintiff's car. On appeal, the plaintiff argued our clients were a proximate cause of the accident.